UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,161	06/26/2001	Michael R. Ohran	14113.3.2.2	4716
7590 09/11/2007 R. Burns Israelsen WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY			EXAMINER	
			COLBERT, ELLA	
1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Salt Lake City, UT 84111			3694	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	09/892,161	OHRAN, MICHAEL R.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ella Colbert	3694		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9,11 and 14-39 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7, 9, 11, and 14-39 are subject to respect to the day of the day	wn from consideration.	rement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ition is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nil Date		

Application/Control Number: 09/892,161 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-7, 9, 11, and 14-39 are pending. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 14, 16, 18-20, 22, 23, 26-28, and 37-39 have been amended in this communication file 6/18/07 entered as Response After Non-Final Action and Request for Extension of Time.

2. The rejections in the prior Office Action of 3/8/07 are hereby withdrawn in view of newly found inventions.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, drawn to establishing a virtual storage area network including first and second mass storage devices, classified in class 709, subclass 214.
 - II. Claims 9, 11, 14, and 15, drawn to accessing data through the first and second server through a virtual storage area network, classified in class 707, subclass 10.
 - III. Claims 16-19, drawn to using a policing protocol module, providing the first server with write access priority, executing the write request at the first server and using a second mirroring engine of the first server and transmitting a copy of the write request from the first server, classified in class 726, subclass 1.
 - IV. Claims 20-27, drawn to issuing the write operation request in response to a write request, determining when the first server or second server has write access, enabling the first mirroring engine to mirror the second mass

Application/Control Number: 09/892,161

Art Unit: 3694

storage device and the second mirroring engine to mirror the first mass storage device, classified in class 709, subclass 232.

V. Claims 28-39, drawn to mirroring data stored on a first mass storage device, classified in class 709, subclass 201.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case Invention I establishes the virtual storage area network including the first and second mass storage devices and Invention II access the data through the first and second server through a virtual storage area network.

Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Invention III is a method for using a policing protocol module, providing the first server with write access priority, executes the write request at the first server, uses a second mirroring engine of the first server, and transmits a copy of the write request from the first server and Invention IV is an apparatus that can be used for issuing a write operation request in response to a write request, determining when the first server or second server has write access, enabling the first mirroring engine to

Application/Control Number: 09/892,161

Art Unit: 3694

mirror the second mass storage device and the second mirroring engine to mirror the first mass storage device.

Inventions V and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Invention V is related as process or method claim which can be used with Invention IV which is an apparatus to perform the steps of Invention V or a materially different process. For example, the apparatus of claim IV can be used communicating across a network from a first server to a second server, receiving requests from network clients, backing up and accessing data on mass storage devices.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

The Examiner could not find where the independent claims had been examined on the merits other than to give objections and rejections for informal matters. The restriction was found when the Examiner attempted to give a rejection on the merits of the amended claims.

Art Unit: 3694

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday, and Thursday, 5:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 30, 2007